COGNITIVE EFFICIENCY OF PRIMARY SCHOOL LEARNERS IN INCLUSIVE EDUCATION: PSYCHOLOGICAL, PEDAGOGICAL, AND INSTRUCTIONAL PATHWAYS

Valiyeva Farida Faridovna

Abstract: *Inclusive education aims to ensure that all children—regardless of ability, learning difficulty, language background, or disability—participate meaningfully in the same learning community. A central quality indicator of inclusion is learners' cognitive efficiency: the extent to which pupils can sustain attention, process information, use memory effectively, apply strategies, and demonstrate progress in academic tasks. This article examines cognitive efficiency in primary grades through psychological and pedagogical lenses, explaining how inclusive classroom conditions influence attention control, working memory, executive functions, motivation, and metacognition. The article also proposes a practical framework for teachers to support cognitive efficiency via universal design for learning (UDL), scaffolding, differentiated instruction, cooperative learning, formative assessment, and strategy teaching. Finally, it discusses ethical considerations in assessment and highlights evidence-informed diagnostic tools suitable for inclusive settings.*

Keywords: *cognitive efficiency; inclusive education; primary school; attention; working memory; executive functions; universal design for learning; scaffolding; differentiation; formative assessment*

INTRODUCTION

In primary education, "learning success" is not only measured by grades but also by the quality of cognitive work a child can perform: focusing on tasks, remembering instructions, selecting strategies, and independently monitoring progress. In inclusive classrooms, cognitive efficiency becomes especially important because children's learning profiles differ significantly—some pupils may have language delays, ADHD-like attention difficulties, dyslexia, autism spectrum conditions, sensory impairments, or emotional challenges. Inclusive education therefore requires pedagogical designs that reduce barriers and increase cognitive access to the curriculum (Booth & Ainscow, 2011; UNESCO, 1994).

Cognitive efficiency can be understood as a functional combination of:

- Cognitive processes (attention, memory, processing speed, reasoning),
- Learning strategies (planning, self-checking, note-taking, using prompts),
- Motivational–emotional regulation (persistence, confidence, anxiety control),
- Instructional conditions (clarity, scaffolding, supportive feedback, peer interaction).

2. Cognitive efficiency as "effective mental work"

In educational psychology, efficiency does not mean "fast learning only." Rather, it means optimal use of cognitive resources to achieve learning outcomes with appropriate effort (Sweller, 1988). For primary learners, cognitive efficiency includes:

- sustaining and shifting attention appropriately,
- following multi-step instructions,

- keeping information active in working memory,
- using language and reasoning to solve problems,
- applying strategies and checking results.

Why inclusive contexts matter

Inclusive education can improve cognitive efficiency when the classroom environment:

- lowers stress and fear of failure (which interfere with working memory and attention),
- provides meaningful peer models and collaboration,
- adapts tasks to reachable challenge levels,
- uses clear routines and predictable supports.

However, inclusion can become cognitively demanding if tasks are not differentiated, instructions are unclear, or support is inconsistent—especially for learners with limited executive functions or language difficulties (Florian, 2014).

3. Psychological Mechanisms: How Inclusion Influences Learning Processes

Attention and executive control

Attention is the "gateway" to learning. In inclusive classrooms, attention difficulties may appear due to sensory overload, unclear task structure, or anxiety. Executive functions—planning, inhibition, cognitive flexibility—are still developing in primary age and vary across children. Instruction that includes brief steps, visual cues, and predictable routines reduces attentional load and supports self-control (Diamond, 2013).

Teacher implication: short, structured instructions + visual schedule + task chunking can significantly increase successful task engagement for diverse learners.

Working memory and cognitive load

Primary learners have limited working memory capacity; pupils with learning difficulties may struggle even more when tasks contain too many simultaneous demands (e.g., reading, writing, remembering rules, and producing an answer at once). Cognitive load theory explains why simplifying presentation and providing worked examples can improve performance and comprehension (Sweller, 1988; Mayer, 2009).

Inclusive design principle: reduce extraneous load (unclear instructions, messy worksheets), and increase germane load (thinking about meaning and strategy).

Language as a cognitive tool

Language is not only a subject; it is a tool for thinking. Classroom talk, peer explanation, and teacher questioning shape cognitive growth. In sociocultural theory, cognitive development is mediated through interaction, scaffolding, and culturally meaningful tools (Vygotsky, 1978). Inclusive classrooms can strengthen cognition when they promote structured dialogue, cooperative learning, and teacher-led scaffolding.

Motivation, self-efficacy, and anxiety

Cognitive efficiency declines when learners experience helplessness or high anxiety. Inclusive pedagogy supports motivation by ensuring:

- achievable goals,
- recognition of effort and strategy (not only correct answers),
- autonomy within structure (choice of tasks, roles, or formats).

Self-efficacy—the belief "I can do this"—predicts persistence and strategy use (Bandura, 1997). Formative feedback strengthens self-efficacy when it is specific and improvement-focused (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

4. Pedagogical Conditions that Build Cognitive Efficiency

Universal Design for Learning

UDL recommends designing lessons so that different learners can access the same objectives through:

- multiple means of representation (visual + oral + concrete),
- multiple means of action/expression (oral answer, drawing, sentence frames),
- multiple means of engagement (choice, relevance, supportive challenge) (Rose & Meyer, 2002).

In inclusive primary classrooms, UDL reduces "barriers" before individual accommodations are needed.

Scaffolding and gradual release

Scaffolding is temporary support that helps learners complete tasks they could not do alone (Wood, Bruner, & Ross, 1976). A strong inclusive scaffold sequence:

1. model (teacher demonstrates),
2. guided practice (together),
3. supported independent practice (checklists, prompts),
4. independent application (fading supports).

Differentiation without lowering expectations

Differentiation means adjusting pathways, not eliminating cognitive challenge. Teachers can differentiate by:

- task complexity (same goal, different steps),
- time, pacing, and breaks,
- input supports (audio, visuals, vocabulary banks),
- output supports (sentence starters, graphic organizers).

This aligns with the principle that all learners pursue meaningful goals, but with adapted supports (Tomlinson, 2014; Florian, 2014).

Cooperative learning and peer-mediated support

Structured group work increases cognitive efficiency through explanation, modeling, and shared problem solving. When roles are clear (reader, checker, summarizer, helper), cooperative learning can benefit both high- and low-achieving pupils and strengthen classroom belonging (Johnson & Johnson, 2009).

Formative assessment and feedback loops

Formative assessment supports cognitive efficiency by making learning visible and guiding strategy adjustment. Effective techniques include:

- exit tickets (one key idea + one question),
- mini-whiteboard responses,
- quick checks for understanding,
- rubric-based feedback on strategy use.

Meta-cognitive reflection ("How did you solve it?") helps learners develop self-regulation (Black & Wiliam, 1998).

5. Discussion: Assessing Cognitive Efficiency in Inclusive Primary Education

Indicators for classroom-based monitoring

Teachers can monitor cognitive efficiency using practical indicators:

- Cognitive: sustained attention, accuracy, memory of instructions, reasoning quality.
- Motivational: persistence, task initiation, willingness to attempt.
- Operational: planning steps, strategy selection, self-checking.
- Reflective: ability to explain errors, evaluate effort, set next goals.

Ethical principles

Inclusive assessment must avoid labeling children as "weak" based on a single test or a disability category. Ethical assessment:

- uses multiple sources (observations, work samples, short tasks),
- compares progress to the learner's baseline (growth),
- ensures accommodations do not change the construct being assessed,
- protects dignity and reduces stigma (Booth & Ainscow, 2011).

Common challenges and solutions

- Challenge: uneven participation in groups → Solution: assigned roles + turn-taking rules.
- Challenge: overload from long instructions → Solution: chunking + visuals + "repeat back" checks.
- Challenge: "inclusion in seating only" (social but not cognitive participation) → Solution: goal-focused scaffolds and differentiated success criteria.

Conclusion

Cognitive efficiency in primary learners is a multi-dimensional construct shaped by attention control, memory, executive functions, language-mediated thinking, motivation, and instructional design. Inclusive education can significantly enhance cognitive efficiency when it is implemented as pedagogical inclusion—not only physical placement—through UDL, scaffolding, differentiation, cooperative learning, and formative feedback. In practice, the most effective inclusive classrooms are those that reduce unnecessary cognitive load, teach learning strategies explicitly, and build students' self-efficacy through achievable progress and supportive assessment.

REFERENCES:

1. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W. H. Freeman.
2. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74.
3. Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2011). Index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools (3rd ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
4. Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135–168.

5. Florian, L. (2014). What counts as evidence of inclusive education? European Journal of Special Needs Education, 29(3), 286–294.

6. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Educational Research, 77(1), 81–112.

7. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. Educational Researcher, 38(5), 365–379.

8. Mayer, R. E. (2009). Multimedia learning (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

9. Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning. ASCD.

10. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257–285.

11. Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners (2nd ed.). ASCD.

UNESCO. (1994). The Salamanca statement and framework for action on special needs education. UNESCO.

12. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

13. Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 17(2), 89–100.