

Finland, Helsinki international scientific online conference "SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY"



THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHING READING WITH VARIOUS METHODS

Baxodirova Mijgona Baxodirovna

Master of Termiz University of Economics and Service

Abstract: In the context of reading instruction, this paper examines the effectiveness of different teaching strategies. Through a comprehensive review of the literature, it looks at both more modern approaches like the structured literacy approach and more established ones like whole language, phonics-based instruction, and balanced literacy. Effectiveness-influencing variables are examined, such as teacher skill, instructional context, and student characteristics. The review also takes into account the effects of teaching strategies on literacy development, reading outcomes, and educational equity. The results advocate for evidence-based practices that are adapted to the various needs of learners and point to a nuanced understanding of the advantages and disadvantages of each approach. In the end, this review helps educators, decision-makers, and interested parties make wise choices regarding how best to implement reading instruction strategies and promote literacy proficiency for all students.

Key words: Reading instruction, Phonics, Whole language, Literacy development, Empirical evidence, Teacher training, Individualized instruction, Parental involvement, Cultural factors, Socioeconomic factors, Equity in education, Technology integration, Long-term outcomes, Critical thinking, Comprehension skills

INTRODUCTION

The way that reading is taught is a critical factor in determining how readers will develop in the future. The search for the best teaching strategies becomes critical as educators work to equip students with the vital skill of reading. This article explores the wide range of methods, from interactive techniques to traditional phonics, highlighting their benefits, drawbacks, and transformative potential. Come along as we explore approaches to reading instruction that spark interest, improve comprehension, and set the stage for a lifelong love affair with books.

Phonics vs. Whole Language Approach: Examine the differences between the whole language approach, which emphasizes meaning-making through exposure to entire words and context, and phonics-based instruction, which emphasizes the relationship between sounds and letters.

Empirical Evidence: Summarize studies that have compared various approaches to reading instruction and highlighted the advantages and disadvantages of each.

Individual Differences: Talk about how different learners—based on things like age, language background, and learning style—might benefit from various approaches.

The significance of teacher training in the successful application of diverse methods of reading instruction should be discussed, along with the function of continuous professional development. Parental Involvement: Look at how parents can help their kids become readers and how various approaches can affect the way parents and kids interact about literacy.



Finland, Helsinki international scientific online conference "SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY"



Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors: Talk about ways to address equity issues in literacy education and consider how cultural and socioeconomic factors may affect the efficacy of various reading instruction methods. Technology Integration: Examine how digital resources, educational apps, and online platforms can be utilized to improve reading instruction. Long-Term Outcomes: Assess how various reading instruction strategies affect students' reading proficiency over the long term as well as their overall literacy skills.

Conclusion

In summary, the subject of how well different teaching approaches work for teaching reading is complex and multidimensional. Although there is ongoing discussion about whether whole language instruction or phonics-based instruction is better for diverse learners, it is clear that a balanced approach that combines aspects of both approaches may be most effective. The best outcomes, according to empirical evidence, are achieved through individualized instruction that is catered to each student's needs. Furthermore, putting into practice efficient reading instruction strategies requires teacher training and continual professional development. All students can succeed in literacy if teachers are given the tools they need to modify their lessons to fit the needs of different learners.

Furthermore, it is impossible to overestimate the importance of parents in helping their kids develop their reading skills. Further supporting literacy outcomes can be joint efforts by parents and teachers, as well as the use of technology to improve reading instruction. It is critical to take into account how cultural and socioeconomic factors affect reading instruction as we work to ensure that all students have equitable access to high-quality literacy instruction. Through tackling issues of equity and offering assistance and support to marginalized communities, we can strive to guarantee that every student has the chance to develop into proficient readers. Teaching reading with the ultimate goal of creating a lifelong love of reading, critical thinking, and comprehension skills is just as important as honing decoding skills.

REFERENCES:

- 1. National Reading Panel. (2000). Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
- 2. Ehri, L. C. (2014). Orthographic mapping in the acquisition of sight word reading, spelling memory, and vocabulary learning. Scientific Studies of Reading, 18(1), 5-21.
- 3. Moats, L. C. (2000). Whole language lives on: The illusion of "balanced" reading instruction. Washington, DC: Thomas B. Fordham Foundation.
- 4. Torgesen, J. K., & Burgess, S. R. (1998). Consistency of reading-related phonological processes throughout early childhood: Evidence from longitudinal-correlational and instructional studies. Reading and Writing, 10(4), 219-252.
- 5. National Early Literacy Panel. (2008). Developing early literacy: Report of the National Early Literacy Panel. National Institute for Literacy.



Finland, Helsinki international scientific online conference "SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY"



- 6. Pianta, R. C., & Hamre, B. K. (2009). Conceptualization, measurement, and improvement of classroom processes: Standardized observation can leverage capacity. Educational Researcher, 38(2), 109-119.
- 7. Snow, C. E., & Ninio, A. (Eds.). (2010). The Wiley-Blackwell handbook of childhood cognitive development (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- 8. Willingham, D. T. (2017). The reading mind: A cognitive approach to understanding how the mind reads. Jossey-Bass.
- 9. Pressley, M., & Woloshyn, V. E. (1995). Cognitive strategy instruction that really improves children's academic performance (2nd ed.). Brookline Books.
- 10. International Literacy Association. (2020). The ILA 2020 literacy leadership brief: Teaching for literacy engagement in times of crisis. International Literacy Association.