LEGENDARY FIGURES AND ROYAL BLADES: MYTH, MATERIAL, AND STATECRAFT

Abdullokh Mukumjonov
Craftsman

Abstract: *Royal blades—swords, sabres, and ritual knives linked to rulers and saints—sit at the intersection of myth, material craft, and political performance. This article examines how legendary blades condense narratives of legitimacy into repeatable acts of sovereignty and then circulate as instruments of interstate relationship-building from the medieval period to the present. Bringing together regalia theory, gift-exchange, and symbolic capital, I analyze six case clusters—Excalibur (Britain), Joyeuse (France), the Sword of Osman (Ottoman Empire), Kusanagi-no-Tsurugi (Japan), Ẕū-'l-Fiqār (Islamic tradition), and a regional Uzbek example (Andijan/Bukhara court blades, 16th–19th c.)—and situate them alongside a South Asian Mughal comparative. Methods include close reading of chronicles and epics, museum catalogues and object files, and metallurgical/epigraphic evidence where available, supplemented by market and exhibition data (auction records 1990–2025; exhibition loans 2000–2025). Three findings emerge. First, mythic scripts (e.g., "right to wield" tests) stabilize succession anxieties by materializing virtue as an object. Second, cross-border blade movements—tribute, dowry, trophies, and curated loans—create durable cultural linkages and soft-power channels. Third, contemporary heritage economies monetize verified provenance through collaborative maker–museum projects while raising questions of ritual sensitivity and digital stewardship under the UNESCO 2003 ICH framework. I conclude with governance guidelines for exhibiting and replicating royal blades that balance public access, artisans' rights, and the integrity of sacred narratives.*

Keywords: *regalia; cultural diplomacy; gift exchange; symbolic capital; metallurgy; provenance; intangible cultural heritage; exhibition diplomacy*

INTRODUCTION

Sovereignty is never purely abstract; it is performed through persons, words, and things. Few things perform authority as vividly as blades. Royal swords are not only weapons or jewels; they are regalia—objects that enact and encode rulership within repeatable public rituals (Oakeshott 1994; Edge and Paddock 1996). Their charisma is multi-sited: myth supplies narrative legitimacy; ritual stages authority before witnesses; material features (steel, inscriptions, gems) visualize transcendence; and diplomatic/economic circuits convert aura into relationships and value (Mauss 1990 [1925]; Appadurai 1986).

This study asks three questions:

1.How do legendary blades translate mythic charisma into political authority across traditions?

2.How do their cross-border movements (gift, trophy, museum loan) shape interstate relationships past and present?

3.How are these objects governed today—legally, ethically, and curatorially—within heritage markets and digital infrastructures?

I proceed comparatively across six case clusters spanning Europe, the Islamic world, East Asia, and Central/South Asia, with a focused regional example from Andijan/Bukhara to center Central Asian craft lineages in conversations that often privilege Western canons.

Theoretical Framework: Gifts, Regalia, Symbolic Capital

Gift exchange. Mauss's theory of the gift frames prestige objects as binding persons and polities through obligations that outlast the moment of transfer (Mauss 1990 [1925]). Royal blades offered as tribute or dowry imprint hierarchy and alliance into metal.

Regalia and performativity. Regalia are not passive emblems; they do things in ritual time. Their repeated use at coronations, processions, or oath-takings accumulates what Bourdieu calls symbolic capital—recognized, inheritable authority (Bourdieu 1986). The same logic underlies "testing" myths (e.g., Excalibur) in which the right to rule is dramatized as the right to wield.

Objects with agency. Building on Appadurai's "social life of things" (1986) and Gell's account of art's agency (1998), I treat blades as actors that mediate relations among rulers, courts, and publics, without anthropomorphizing them.

Soft power and cultural diplomacy. In modernity, royal blades become nodes in cultural diplomacy—exhibitions, loans, and replicas that shape perception abroad (Nye 2004; Cummings 2013). Their aura is fungible: it can be curated, insured, insured again, and toured.

Methods

This essay synthesizes:

1.Texts: chronicles, epics, ritual manuals, and hagiographies (e.g., Arthurian cycles; Ottoman chronicles; Shinto histories; Shiʿi devotional literature) (Lacy 1996; Inalcik 1973; Breen and Teeuwen 2010; Schimmel 1985).

2.Object records: museum catalogues, accession files, and conservation notes for European, Islamic, and East Asian swords (Alexander 2015; Williams 2012; Met Museum and Louvre catalogues).

3.Material studies: metallography (pattern-welding, carbon content), epigraphy (Latin, Arabic, Japanese), and gemmology where documented (Williams 2012; Alexander 2015).

4.Market and mobility data: auction records (1990–2025) and high-profile exhibition loans (2000–2025), used qualitatively to map price/provenance dynamics and "exhibition diplomacy."

5.Policy context: UNESCO 2003 Convention on Intangible Cultural Heritage; national export and museum-loan frameworks (UNESCO 2003).

Ambiguities are flagged—for instance, composite objects (later hilts on older blades) or contested attributions (e.g., "Charlemagne's sword" Joyeuse) (Oakeshott 1994; Edge and Paddock 1996).

1) Excalibur and the Drama of Legitimation (Britain)

In the Arthurian corpus, legitimacy is staged via ordeal: sword-in-the-stone and the Lady of the Lake episodes produce kingship as recognition—the blade chooses the ruler (Lacy 1996). Even absent a single historical object, the myth functions as a script for later

pageantry and nation-making, repeatedly coupling kingship with justice (Oakeshott 1994). In modern cultural diplomacy, Excalibur saturates film, tourism, and museum didactics—soft power built on a literary blade (Nye 2004).

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Narrative test → coronation/pageant motifs → sword-form iconography and replicas → tourism, film tie-ins, museum education.

2) Joyeuse and the Palimpsest of French Coronation

Joyeuse, traditionally linked to Charlemagne, is a composite sword preserved in the Louvre and used in French coronations into the 19th century (Oakeshott 1994; Edge and Paddock 1996). Composite construction—older blade, later mounts—shows how regalia can be updated to maintain continuity. Coronation rites framed the king as defender of Church and realm, with Joyeuse as the kinetic center of oath and blessing. Its museum afterlife repositions national memory within global tourism circuits and loan exhibitions (Alexander 2015).

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Founding-hero myth → anointing/oath → composite refurbishment, epigraphic bands → Louvre display, loan diplomacy, licensed replicas.

3) The Sword of Osman: Ottoman Justice and Ghaza

Ottoman enthronement emphasized the Taklid-i Seyf—girding with the Sword of Osman—at the tomb of Abu Ayyub al-Ansari in Istanbul (Inalcik 1973). Here, sword eclipses crown: rulership is configured as just warfare (ghaza) disciplinarily bound to law and piety. Spatial choreography (sacred tomb, public procession) renders the blade into an axis between founding myth and present sovereign. Later refurbishments maintained visual splendor while signaling renewal.

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Founding narrative → girding rite → jeweled mounts, Qur'anic epigraphy → Ottoman identity in international exhibitions and contemporary Turkish cultural diplomacy.

4) Kusanagi-no-Tsurugi: Secrecy as Sacrality in Japan

Kusanagi is one of the Sanshu no Jingi (Three Sacred Treasures), alongside mirror and jewel. Its authority is relational—sword within triad—and its power intensifies through restricted visibility (Breen and Teeuwen 2010). This regime of secrecy complicates museum logics that privilege display: aura is produced by inaccessibility, not spectacle.

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Mythic descent of the imperial line → imperial accession rites → conserved, minimally visible regalia → indirect diplomacy via narratives, not object loans.

5) Ẕū-'l-Fiqār: Distributed Regalia and the Iconography of Justice

The bifurcated sword associated with ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib functions primarily as an image tradition rather than a single artifact (Schimmel 1985). Emblems proliferate on standards, talismans, and manuscripts, diffusing charisma through communities. Here, the "royal blade" is distributed, allowing ethical claims (courage, discernment) to be enacted beyond court.

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Shiʿi devotional narratives → processions and banners → inscriptions invoking justice → transregional networks, pilgrim economies.

6) Andijan/Bukhara Court Blades (16th–19th c.)

Central Asian courts nurtured distinct blade ecologies—steel trade routes, pichoq forms, and ornamental grammars (pattern-weld, silver inlay). Andijan and Bukhara workshops supplied courtly and diplomatic needs; blades circulated as gifts among Bukharan, Khivan, Persian, and Russian elites (Alexander 2015; Inalcik 1973). Makers' marks and inscriptions anchor provenance; scabbard mounts visualize rank. In the 19th century, Russian imperial expansion and museumization reframed these objects within ethnographic display.

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Local heroic genealogies and Sufi lineages → court presentations and investitures → crucible/pattern-weld steels, niello, kufic/naskh → modern exhibition loans EU/US; artisan collaborations in Uzbekistan/EU/US micro-exports.

7) Comparative South Asian Mughal Example

Mughal courts mobilized swords in investiture rituals and diplomatic gifting to Safavid and Uzbek counterparts; royal blades appear in miniatures and chronicles as extensions of the emperor's justice (ʿadl) (Alexander 2015; Flood 2009). Ornament (jade hilts, inscribed cartouches) projected cosmopolitan sovereignty across Persianate networks.

Myth → Ritual → Material → Diplomacy/Economy: Timurid and prophetic genealogies → court ceremony and embassy exchange → wootz edges, jade/gem mounts → Indo-Persian diplomatic circuits; modern auction premiums for provenanced Mughal blades.

Materialities: Steel, Pattern-Welding, Inscriptions, Refurbishing

Steel ecologies. From early pattern-welded ferrous stacks to high-carbon edges and crucible steels, technologies encoded ethics—strength with mercy mirrored by hard-edge/soft-core constructions (Williams 2012).

Epigraphy and ornament. Inscriptions (Latin benedictions; Qurʾanic verses) and heraldic or vegetal ornament naturalize governance claims (Grabar 1992; Alexander 2015).

Composite lives. Many regalia are palimpsests—rehilted, reground, remounted. Rather than "inauthentic," such updates are doctrinal: renewal without rupture (Oakeshott 1994).

Conservation and truth-to-materials. Modern conservation adds a new script—stabilization, reversibility, and documentation. The conservation report becomes part of the blade's life history, useful for diplomacy and insurance.

Mobility & Diplomacy: Tribute, Trophy, Loan

Tribute and dowry.

Royal blades gifted as tribute embed asymmetry into kinship metaphors; dowry exchanges marry households and polities (Mauss 1990 [1925]).

Trophies. Captured swords invert hierarchy but also create curatorial dilemmas: is the trophy to be displayed triumphantly or contextualized empathetically?

Loan diplomacy. Since 2000, high-profile loans have used blades to tell civilizational stories to foreign publics. Loan agreements specify climate, security, mount-making, and indemnity; curators become de facto diplomats.

Contemporary Economies: Provenance, Replicas, Maker Collaborations (Uzbekistan/EU/US)

Markets and premiums.

Auction data (1990–2025) indicate price uplifts for blades with verified provenance, maker's marks, or royal associations; replicas authenticated by museums command educational and collector interest (Alexander 2015; Williams 2012). While precise percentages vary by submarket, the "provenance premium" is consistent across European and Indo-Persian categories (Appadurai 1986).

Replicas and living craft. Museum–maker collaborations engage contemporary smiths to reproduce historical methods, transforming legendary narratives into vocational pipelines and micro-exports that benefit regions like Andijan/Bukhara while supplying EU/US institutions (UNESCO 2003).

Tourism and media. Exhibitions generate heritage tourism and media tie-ins; narrative-rich blades (Excalibur, Ẕū-'l-Fiqār) anchor public programming and publishing.

Governance/Ethics Framework: Provenance, Ritual Sensitivity, Attribution, Digital Stewardship

1.Provenance due diligence. Publish object files, ownership chains, and conservation histories; apply rigorous checks to mitigate illicit trade (Alexander 2015; UNESCO 2003).

2.Ritual sensitivity. Consult stakeholders for sacred or sectarian emblems (e.g., Kusanagi's restricted visibility; Ẕū-'l-Fiqār's devotional uses) (Breen and Teeuwen 2010; Schimmel 1985).

3.Attribution/licensing. Credit living artisans, lineages, and workshops in replicas; license motifs with consent to avoid appropriation (Grabar 1992).

4.Interpretive honesty. Flag composites and contested attributions in labels (Oakeshott 1994).

5.Digital stewardship. Use high-fidelity scans and responsibly licensed metadata to expand access without displacing contested objects; record community protocols.

6.Equitable economics. Structure collaborations so that artisans (e.g., Uzbek smiths) participate in value capture through fair contracts, co-branding, and royalties.

Conclusion

Legendary royal blades endure because myth, ritual, and material are mutually reinforcing. Blades generate legitimacy when wielded within recognized scripts; they build bridges when moved across borders under frameworks of tribute, trophy, or loan; and they create contemporary value when provenance and pedagogy are explicit.

Responsible governance can harness their charisma for cultural diplomacy and craft education without flattening sacred meanings.

In practical terms: tell the truth about objects; share value with makers; and curate mobility—physical or digital—so that steel continues to teach justice.

REFERENCES:

- Alexander, David G. 2015. Islamic Arms and Armor in The Metropolitan Museum of Art. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
- Appadurai, Arjun, ed. 1986. The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1986. "The Forms of Capital." In Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education, edited by John G. Richardson, 241–58. New York: Greenwood.
- Breen, John, and Mark Teeuwen. 2010. A New History of Shinto. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Cummings, Milton C. 2013. Cultural Diplomacy and the United States Government: A Survey. Washington, DC: Center for Arts and Culture.
- Edge, David, and John Miles Paddock. 1996. Arms and Armor of the Medieval Knight. London: Bison/Salamander.
- Flood, Finbarr. 2009. Objects of Translation: Material Culture and Medieval "Hindu-Muslim" Encounter. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gell, Alfred. 1998. Art and Agency: An Anthropological Theory. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Grabar, Oleg. 1992. The Mediation of Ornament. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Inalcik, Halil. 1973. The Ottoman Empire: The Classical Age, 1300–1600. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- Lacy, Norris J., ed. 1996. The New Arthurian Encyclopedia. New York: Garland.
- Mauss, Marcel. 1990 [1925]. The Gift: The Form and Reason for Exchange in Archaic Societies. Translated by W. D. Halls. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Nye, Joseph S. 2004. Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics. New York: PublicAffairs.
- Oakeshott, Ewart. 1994. The Sword in the Age of Chivalry. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Schimmel, Annemarie. 1985. And Muhammad Is His Messenger: The Veneration of the Prophet in Islamic Piety. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- UNESCO. 2003. Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage. Paris: UNESCO.
- Williams, Alan. 2012. The Sword and the Crucible: A History of the Metallurgy of European Swords Up to the 16th Century. Leiden: Brill.
- Williams, Alan. 2003. "A Metallurgical Study of Some Viking Swords." Materials Characterization 49 (2): 123–35.